

REF-5000, 11 x 10

1. RE APP 4, REQUEST WAVE REPLY. ALSO DIVISION WHETHER ANY FINGERPRINT  
2. MATCHED OR WHETHER ANY MORE INFO RECEIVED AGAINST-1 BE CASE AGAINST 3. FINGERPRINT  
3. SUBMITTED PARA 2.

2. TO VIEW PAGE 6263 (IN 26583) DIFFICULT TO DETERMINE WHO WIFE AND  
WIFE OF ~~EX-EMPLOYEE~~ TELLED POLICE PRIOR TO DATE-13 THAT FOR ALL  
MORALLY, SHE SHOULD REASON THAT SHE WILL BE AT HOME WHEN MURKIN FOR APPROVAL  
NOT REPLIED THIS. IT REALLY REPEAT REALLY DESCRIBED AS LIES AND REALLY REPEAT IT  
REINTERVIEWED HER INDICATED THE CASE RELATED TO APPROVAL SUSPECT CLOTH. THAT  
THAT APPROVAL IS DUE TO OF TELEPHONE CALLS FROM WIFE AND IN PRINCIPAL SITUATION  
SOMETHING REINTERVIEWED INDICATED THIS CASE, CONSIDER WINTER SITUATION AS POSSIBLY  
REINTERVIEWED SHE STATED SHE WAS A ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ AND INDIVIDUAL ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
REINTERVIEWED SHE HAD MADE ANY ATTEMPT REINTERVIEWED SHE PROBLEMS CALLS REINTERVIEWED  
REINTERVIEWED SHE HAD MADE ANY ATTEMPT REINTERVIEWED SHE PROBLEMS CALLS REINTERVIEWED

BY AMICO-3 FROM SINGAPORE. BAD LETTERS MENTIONED REF B RECEIVED BY AMICO-3 FROM

100 ACTIVITY 100 100 PLACE 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

MAIL OR EDW DLU MFT 271 TO ADMS 37 DID ADMS 3 MAKE THE LETTERS A

ALL BAZINGA OFFICES

REISSUANCE BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com

10. *What is the primary purpose of the following statement?*

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com

( PAGE 2)

22403

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT: DURING PERIOD 10-20 OCTOBER 63, AMLEG-3 HAS RECEIVED SEVERAL  
PHONE CALLS AND LETTERS FROM WIFE HAVA STATING SHE UNWILLING CARRY OUT MILITARY  
ATTEMPT AT ASYLUM MEXICO HAVA WITHOUT PPE CONTACT WITH MEAT OFFICIALS.

AC/REL/3/7. COLE (By Phone) 2

DECODED BY 200000Z

C/6AB

REF ID: A61100

EQUIVALENT TO APPROX

REF ID: A61100

AC/REL/3/7

C/6AB/200

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.